

Appendix K

**Draft Monitoring and Adaptive
Management Plan**

1 **Bel Marin Keys Unit V Expansion of the Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project**
2 **DRAFT CONCEPTUAL MONITORING AND**
3 **ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN**
4 **October 2002**

5
6
7 **INTRODUCTION**
8

9 After construction is completed the site will be monitored for a period of 13 years to ensure that the site is
10 maturing and performing as designed. The Corps of Engineers will participate in the monitoring and
11 adaptive management program for 13 years after the end of construction. Subsequent inspection and
12 surveillance of the project in connection with its obligation for operating, maintaining, repairing,
13 rehabilitating and replacing the project will be the responsibility of the non-Federal Sponsor.
14

15 At any time during the 13-year monitoring period, if the results of monitoring indicate that any features of
16 the constructed project require modification or if new features are required for the project to perform as
17 intended, then adaptive management measures may be implemented. This plan provides a general
18 framework for monitoring and managing the success of the Bel Marin Keys Unit V Expansion of the
19 Hamilton Wetlands Restoration Project after construction. Included is guidance for monitoring levee
20 performance, site hydraulics including channel and creek morphology, biological success, public health
21 (mosquito breeding habitat), and water quality. This conceptual plan will be greatly expanded and
22 quantified in the detailed design phase of the study.
23

24 It should be noted that a separate operation and maintenance (O&M) manual will be prepared by the
25 Corps and provided to the Sponsor upon completion of construction. O&M tasks will be performed by
26 the Sponsor to ensure that the project features are maintained in their as-built condition (or as modified by
27 adaptive management measures) for the entire project life.
28

29 This plan covers the period after the completion of construction. Prior phases of the project include the
30 detailed design phase and the construction phase. The SEIR/EIS identifies specific project features and
31 mitigation measures to be implemented during the design phase (such as development of specific trail
32 designs or development of a water management plan) or to be implemented during the construction phase
33 (such as pre-construction nest surveys). Maintenance and monitoring during construction (e.g., spill
34 prevention, erosion control, discharge of decant water, avoidance of special-status species) will be further
35 described in the plans and specifications for construction. Testing of sediments for contaminants and
36 evaluation of sediment quality will be completed by responsible parties for proposed dredged material for
37 reuse and the DMMO prior to transportation to the site during the construction phase.
38

39 At the beginning of the post-construction phase period, dredged material will have been placed and the
40 outboard levees breached.
41

42 Contemporaneously with the commencement of the Monitoring and Adaptive Management Period, the
43 non-Federal Sponsor will assume exclusive responsibility for the performance and funding of the
44 operation, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement of the project, and the two programs will
45 run concurrently. The distinction between the Sponsor's maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and
46 replacement responsibilities, on the one hand, and the adaptive management activities shared for the 13-
47 year period by the Government and the Sponsor, on the other hand, will be determined as the detailed
48 Monitoring and Adaptive Management Plan and the OMRRR Manual are developed.
49

50 Monitoring of biological, hydrological, topographic, bathymetric, and water quality conditions will track
51 the evolution of the site after breaching of the outboard levees. Periodic comparisons of measured

1 conditions with expected conditions will determine whether the development of the site is progressing as
2 planned.

3
4 Restoration goals and objectives for the project are qualitative statements in the SEIR/EIS regarding
5 expected future conditions. Quantitative standards intended to measure progress towards these goals and
6 objectives will be developed later for the detailed monitoring, and adaptive management plan.

9 **LEVEES AND WATER MANAGEMENT STRUCTURES**

11 **Monitoring**

12
13 SETTLEMENT. Monitoring of settlement of the levees due to foundation consolidation should be
14 performed annually by means of precision level surveys of settlement monuments installed during
15 construction. The greatest rate of settlement is expected to occur during the first ten years after the levees
16 are constructed. The data should be reduced, plotted, and compared with the expected design rate.
17 Settlement monitoring of the levees should continue annually until the analyses of the survey data shows
18 that the rate and amount of settlement are within design expectations. At that time the frequency of
19 settlement monitoring may be adjusted to longer intervals of time. If the rates and amount of settlement
20 are unacceptable, then corrective measures should be recommended and action taken.

21
22 ANNUAL INSPECTIONS. During the first few years after breaching of the outboard levees, a walkover
23 inspection of the levees and water management structures should be performed twice annually for pre-
24 and post-winter conditions. Subsequently, the frequency of inspection of levees can be reduced to one
25 annual post-winter inspection. The reduced frequency would be based upon determining that the
26 performance of the levee features, and of the site in general, are in accordance with design expectations.
27 Inspection of water management structures should continue on a twice-annual schedule.

28
29 The inspection should look for erosion problems such as rills, gullies, and other evidence of erosion on
30 the newly constructed levees, and for evidence of burrowing mammals. Burrowing mammals, when
31 present in large enough numbers, are detrimental to the overall stability of a levee. Burrowing mammals
32 should be eradicated when infestations endanger the perimeter levee system, and the damage repaired.
33 The breach openings should also be inspected for any obstructions or debris that would limit tidal flows.
34 The walk over inspection should document the implementation of previously recommended corrective
35 actions (or the lack thereof) and the effectiveness of that action.

36
37 The inspection of water management structures should look for structural integrity, settlement vegetation
38 accumulation, sediment accumulation, or other features that may impede operation of the structure.

39
40 The annual inspections may be supplemented as necessary following a major storm event or an
41 earthquake of magnitude 5 or greater located within 50 miles of the project, or a smaller magnitude event
42 if specific reports of local damage are received.

43
44 CROSS SECTIONS. Surveyed cross-sections of the perimeter levees and any waterside, wave-erosion
45 protection berms should be performed annually until they have stabilized, but no less than five years after
46 the breaching of the outboard levees. Supplemental surveys should be made after a severe storm event or
47 a major El Nino winter.

48
49 INSPECTION REPORT. An inspection report should be written for each inspection documenting the
50 observations and finding, recommended corrective action items, and actions taken. In general, the
51 monitoring and inspection report should include but not be limited to the following:

- 1
2 A. A site map indicating the areas of significant findings and/or observations.
3
4 B. Condition of the breaches, once they are created, noting obstructions and debris.
5
6 C. Condition of the levees and any recent repairs, noting any unusual, abnormal, or unexpected conditions
7 or occurrences that could bear on the effectiveness of the structure.
8
9 D. Results of the settlement monitoring and interpretation of the data.
10
11 E. Condition of hard structures, water management structures (such as culverts or weirs), and pipelines.
12
13 F. Condition of access and service roads, especially areas where problems are likely to develop.
14
15 G. Availability of emergency supplies necessary for immediate repairs of major storm related damages.
16
17 H. An emergency action plan that includes phone numbers and means of contacting operating personnel.
18
19 I. Corrective measures taken (date temporary measures taken, permanent repairs, etc.) and the cost of
20 corrective actions for the report period.
21
22 J. A summary of findings, proposed corrective actions, and an implementation plan for those actions.
23

24 **Maintenance and Adaptive Management**

25
26 Corrective actions in response to problems identified when monitoring levee conditions as described in
27 the section on monitoring, above, may entail either maintenance activities or adaptive management
28 activities. The distinction between these two categories of activities will be developed in greater depth in
29 the detailed Monitoring and Adaptive Management Plan and the OMRRR Manual, respectively.
30 Corrective actions could include adding material to compensate for excessive settling or erosion, repair of
31 earthquake damage, reinforcing the levee surface to withstand erosion in problem areas (to the minimum
32 extent necessary), repair of drainage structures, or control of burrowing rodents. Any rodent-control
33 efforts will need to be carefully planned and executed to avoid negative impacts on adjacent habitats and
34 wildlife. Such efforts would be confined to levees; rodent populations in other habitat areas including
35 berms would not be controlled except under unusual conditions.
36
37

38 **HYDRAULICS**

39 **Monitoring**

40
41
42 **DREDGED MATERIAL FILL ELEVATION AND TIDAL SEDIMENTATION.** The surface elevation
43 of the dredged material fill after consolidation will be an important determinant of the success of the
44 project. Proper development of the tidal marsh requires that the fill elevation be low enough to allow
45 additional sedimentation and development of tidal channels on the site after breaching of the outboard
46 levees. If significant portions of the fill are placed above the intended elevation, formation of small marsh
47 channels will be inhibited and the eventual quality of the marsh habitat will be reduced. In contrast, if the
48 fill elevation is lower than intended, the only negative impact would be a delay in marsh development
49 while additional sedimentation raises the grade level to the intended elevation.
50

1 Dredged material placed on the site will consolidate over time, with the fastest consolidation occurring
2 initially. The degree of consolidation and its duration will depend upon the texture and depth of the
3 dredged material. By the time that the outboard levees are breached, most consolidation will have already
4 occurred. During the next several years, some additional consolidation may occur and could counteract
5 tidal sediment deposition during that period.

6
7 While monitoring the surface elevation of the fill material during and immediately after completion of
8 placement is important, this is part of the construction process and is not part of post-construction
9 monitoring. Measurement of the fill elevation as part of the post-construction monitoring of the site will
10 commence upon the breaching of the outboard levees, and will continue thereafter primarily to measure
11 ongoing sedimentation on the site. These elevation data will also provide the baseline for measuring the
12 physical development of the marsh plain and channels following the introduction of tidal action.

13
14 Monitoring of sediment deposition rates and patterns will provide useful information regarding the
15 accuracy of predictive sedimentation models and will help to quantify the acceleration of marsh
16 restoration achieved by using dredged material. This information will be important in future decisions
17 regarding the use of dredged material in marsh restoration projects. Information regarding sediment
18 deposition patterns will also assist in understanding changes in vegetation patterns as the marsh develops
19 and will provide a basis for evaluating the effectiveness of the interior peninsulas in accelerating sediment
20 deposition. The techniques to be used in monitoring site elevations will be determined during the detailed
21 design stage, but could include transects across the site and/or resistivity staffs as used at the Sonoma
22 Baylands project.

23
24 EXTERIOR TIDAL CHANNELS. To provide initial tidal access to the site, channels will be excavated to
25 connect the site to the waters of San Pablo Bay and Novato Creek. These channels will be large enough to
26 provide substantial tidal circulation, but will be smaller than the final equilibrium size. As the tidal
27 hydrology of the site and its connecting channels evolves, the channels are expected to increase in size
28 until they reach equilibrium with the tidal prism of the site. As the tidal prism eventually decreases due to
29 sedimentation on the site, the channels will decrease in size in response. To ensure that the site is
30 developing properly, the geometry of these channels will be monitored periodically and will be compared
31 to expected conditions.

32
33 NOVATO CREEK CHANNEL MORPHOLOGY. To provide tidal exchange to the site, a breach will be
34 constructed in the outboard levee to connect the site to Novato Creek. Additionally, during high flow
35 periods, outlet flows from Pacheco Pond will be diverted to provide a source of freshwater for the
36 seasonal wetland habitat area. These activities may result in changes in Novato Creek channel
37 morphology (i.e., creek width and depth); although based on study to date, the changes are expected to be
38 favorable in terms of navigation (due to the addition of tidal prism) and less than significant in terms of
39 habitat and levee stability. Baseline conditions will be monitored for several years prior to breach of the
40 BMKV/Novato Creek levee. The geometry of the Novato Creek channel will be monitored annually at
41 designated locations upstream and downstream of the site and compared to the baseline conditions to
42 quantify the magnitude of these changes. Specific monitoring locations will be determined during the
43 detailed design phase. If monitoring identifies any significant adverse changes in channel morphology
44 (e.g., excessive project-related sediment deposition, or erosion of adjacent levees), adaptive management
45 measures will be identified and implemented as appropriate. Monitoring of the Novato Creek channel
46 will be coordinated with the Bel Marin Keys Community Services District, given the interest of the BMK
47 community in navigation via the channel and due to the periodic dredging of the channel by the BMK
48 CSD.

49
50 TIDAL REGIME. The intent of the project is to create a tidal marsh with physical and biological
51 conditions similar to natural marshes in the general area. The creation and maintenance of a normal tidal

1 regime is a very important component of restoration, as tidal action and suspended sediment circulation
2 are essential to the creation and maintenance of tidal marsh topography and vegetation. The progress of
3 the site's tidal regime towards reference conditions will be monitored using appropriate recording
4 equipment. Measurements of tide elevations will be recorded periodically or continuously at locations
5 within the site and at a nearby reference location. The tidal regime and tidal prism will be determined
6 from these measurements.

7
8 **INTERNAL PENINSULA CREST ELEVATIONS.** The internal peninsulas are intended as temporary
9 features to reduce wind and wave fetch, direct tidal flows away from levees, and encourage
10 sedimentation. They are expected to gradually erode away and eventually disappear. The elevation of the
11 peninsula crests will be periodically measured to monitor their progress towards specified standards.

12
13 **INTERNAL CHANNEL DEVELOPMENT.** Tidal channels are the most important physical feature of a
14 tidal salt marsh. The extent, pattern, and density of the channel system determines many other attributes
15 of the marsh, including hydrology, vegetation distribution, and habitat values. It is therefore important to
16 document these attributes of channel development in the Hamilton restoration project for use in the design
17 of future wetland restoration projects.

18
19 Channel development will be mapped from aerial photographs taken during appropriate tidal conditions.
20 Transects may also be useful in measuring the development of these channels.

21 **Maintenance and Adaptive Management.**

22
23
24 Corrective actions in response to problems identified when monitoring project hydraulics may entail
25 either maintenance activities or adaptive management activities. The distinction between these two
26 categories of activities will be developed in greater depth in the detailed Monitoring and Adaptive
27 Management Plan and the OMRRR Manual, respectively. Corrective actions will consist of removal of
28 any debris that obstructs tidal flows.

29 30 31 **WATER QUALITY**

32
33 Water quality parameters to be monitored will include salinity, temperature, and dissolved oxygen.
34 Measurements will be taken at several locations within the site and in the connecting channels. Due to the
35 substantial tidal exchange that should exist immediately after breaching, water quality should be
36 comparable to that in adjacent parts of the bay. If water quality deficiencies are substantial and persistent,
37 remedial actions will be developed and implemented if practicable.

38
39 Additionally, a specific monitoring and adaptive management plan will be developed and implemented to
40 address methylmercury production and accumulation in the restoration site. The plan including specific
41 monitoring parameters (e.g., duration, frequency, constituents, protocols) will be developed in
42 consultation with the responsible regulatory agencies. The purpose of the monitoring would be to
43 determine whether methylmercury concentrations are found at substantially greater concentrations in the
44 water column, sediments, or benthic invertebrate populations at the restoration site than at reference sites.
45 Corrective actions, if required, will be developed and implemented in consultation with the responsible
46 regulatory agencies.

47
48 Implementation of the project will also require coordination with the Marin County Flood Control Water
49 Conservation District and the California Department of Fish and Game to ensure that any water quality
50 monitoring aspects related to the new water management plan for Pacheco Pond are implemented. The

1 development of a new water management plan will be part of the design phase, but its implementation
2 would occur after construction.

3 4 5 **BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES**

6 7 **Monitoring**

8
9 MARSH DEVELOPMENT (FROM MITIGATION MEASURE BIO-8). The Corps, in conjunction with
10 the Conservancy or its successors in interest, will develop and implement a monitoring and adaptive
11 management program to measure the rate of tidal coastal salt marsh establishment and the quantity and
12 quality of established coastal salt marsh. Restored coastal salt marsh will be monitored annually for the
13 first 5 years, and again in years 10 and 15 following breaching of the outboard levees. The Corps and
14 Conservancy (or its successor) would be responsible for the first 5 years of monitoring and the monitoring
15 in year 10. The Conservancy (or its successor) would be responsible for monitoring in year 15, because it
16 is beyond the 13-year Corps monitoring period. The monitoring program will be designed to determine
17 whether coastal tidal marsh is developing and whether its primary supporting physical processes (i.e.,
18 tidal exchange and sedimentation) are occurring at the estimated rate during the first 15 years following
19 completion of construction. Subsequent inspection and surveillance of tidal salt marsh development at
20 year 15 and beyond will be the responsibility of the non-Federal Sponsor in connection with its obligation
21 for operating, maintaining, repairing, rehabilitating, and replacing the project. Because it will occur
22 beyond the 13-year Project monitoring period, the Conservancy will independently assume (including on
23 behalf of any successors) the responsibility for monitoring in year 15, in addition to its obligation to
24 conduct inspection and surveillance of the project.

25
26 Major elements of the monitoring program will include the following:

- 27
28 ▪ Measure the extent of tidal coastal salt marsh removed to determine the amount of tidal
29 coastal salt marsh that would need to be restored to compensate for loss of tidal coastal salt
30 marsh at an in-kind replacement ratio of 2 acres restored for every acre of tidal salt marsh
31 removed.
- 32
33 ▪ Monitor parameters, including tidal stage, tidal current, wind speed and direction, wave
34 characteristics, suspended sediment concentrations, sedimentation rates and distribution,
35 marsh elevations, mudflat elevations, areal extent and locations of established or colonizing
36 salt marsh vegetation, composition and density of established and colonizing plant species,
37 characteristics of subtidal channel and marsh surface sediments, and San Pablo Bay shoreline
38 characteristics.
- 39
40 ▪ Monitor locations, including the tidal wetland interior, tidal wetland perimeter, subtidal
41 channels, and existing San Pablo Bay marsh shoreline.
- 42
43 ▪ Compare predicted and measured site development and function.
- 44
45 ▪ Analyze monitoring data to identify possible reasons for differences between observed and
46 predicted conditions.
- 47
48 ▪ Recommend corrective actions that could be implemented if the restoration is not proceeding
49 as designed.
- 50

1 Monitoring reports will be submitted by the Conservancy, Corps, or successors in interest to DFG,
2 USFWS, NMFS, and BCDC for each year in which monitoring of the development of coastal tidal salt
3 marsh is done.

4
5 At the end of the initial 5-year monitoring period, if the development rate of the coastal salt marsh and the
6 habitat quality of establishing coastal salt marsh do not appear to conform to the goals and projections
7 established for the project, or do not appear sufficient to replace each acre of removed tidal coastal salt
8 marsh with 2 acres of contiguous in-kind habitat within 10-years of levee breach, the Corps, in
9 conjunction with the Conservancy or its successors in interest, will review the proposed BMKV
10 expansion with representatives of DFG, USFWS, and NMFS to obtain input as to whether additional
11 monitoring, adaptive management actions, or modifications are necessary to ensure the functions and
12 values of the affected coastal salt marsh habitat will be replaced. The Corps, in conjunction with the
13 Conservancy or its successors in interest, may initiate a similar review of marsh development following
14 completion of monitoring in year 10 if the Corps or Conservancy concludes that additional actions or
15 modifications are necessary to meet restoration goals. The Conservancy or its successors in interest, may
16 initiate a similar review of marsh development following completion of monitoring in year 15 if they
17 concludes that additional actions or modifications are necessary to meet restoration goals.

18
19 Monitoring or morphologic evolution will allow the Corps, in conjunction with the Conservancy or its
20 successors in interest, to assess the success of habitat development and make decisions regarding
21 corrective measures if necessary. Potential corrective measures include changing the breach and subtidal
22 channel dimensions, altering perimeter levee berm morphology, and modifying channel characteristics
23 within the restored tidal wetlands to ensure adequate morphologic evolution.

24
25 USE BY BIRDS. As intertidal mudflat and marsh habitats develop along with associated invertebrate
26 fauna, use of these habitats by birds should gradually become similar to usage occurring on nearby
27 intertidal habitats. As seasonal wetlands develop, winter use by waterfowl and shorebirds should become
28 similar to such use on nearby seasonal wetlands. Periodic bird surveys will document trends in use of the
29 site by birds in comparison to a nearby reference site and will provide an indication of the success of
30 habitat restoration.

31
32 USE BY FISHES. Fish surveys early in the restoration process will document the initial suitability of the
33 site for fishes. Ongoing surveys will document continued use of the site by fishes as marsh and channel
34 formation occur.

35
36 USE BY ENDANGERED SPECIES (CALIFORNIA CLAPPER RAIL AND SALT MARSH HARVEST
37 MOUSE). As marsh and channel development progress, habitats for the California clapper rail and the
38 salt marsh harvest mouse are expected to gradually develop. After suitable habitat has developed over a
39 portion of the site, periodic surveys will document the extent of these habitats and the presence of these
40 species. Surveys will be coordinated with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the California
41 Department of Fish and Game to ensure compliance with endangered species laws and regulations.

42
43 BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATES. Development of a benthic macroinvertebrate community should
44 occur rapidly after the initial establishment of tidal action on the site. The presence of a thriving benthic
45 macroinvertebrate community (together with abundant fish and bird populations) will indicate that the site
46 is ecologically healthy even if it has not yet developed substantial tidal marsh habitat. However, the
47 composition of this community can be expected to change rapidly and unpredictably due to normal
48 natural fluctuations, which would lessen the value of monitoring trends in these species. Surveys of
49 benthic macroinvertebrates will be conducted during the first year after breaching to document the
50 colonization of the site by these species. Additional surveys may be conducted later if site deficiencies
51 arise.

1
2 SEASONAL WETLAND, EMERGENT MARSH, AND OPEN WATER (FROM MITIGATION
3 MEASURE BIO-9). The Corps, in conjunction with the Conservancy or its successors in interest, will
4 develop and implement a 5-year monitoring program to measure the establishment rate, quantity, and
5 quality of brackish open water, emergent marsh, and/or seasonal wetlands.

6
7 Major elements of the monitoring program will include the following.

- 8
9 ▪ Measure areal extent and locations of established or colonizing marsh vegetation.
10
11 ▪ Measure composition and density of established and colonizing plant species.
12
13 ▪ Compare predicted and measured site development and function.
14
15 ▪ Analyze monitoring data to identify possible reasons for differences between observed and
16 predicted conditions.
17
18 ▪ Recommend corrective remedial actions that can be implemented if the restoration is not
19 proceeding as designed.
20

21 Monitoring reports will be submitted by the Conservancy, Corps, or successors in interest to DFG,
22 USFWS, and BCDC for each year in which monitoring of the development of seasonal wetland and
23 emergent marsh areas is conducted. If the rate, quality, and quantity of created habitat are not meeting
24 restoration goals at the end of the 5-year period, the sponsoring agencies will consult with the above
25 agencies as regards to further monitoring and potential corrective actions.
26

27 **Maintenance and Adaptive Management**

28

29 Corrective actions in response to problems identified when monitoring biological resources conditions
30 may entail either maintenance activities or adaptive management activities. The distinction between these
31 two categories of activities will be developed in greater depth in the detailed Monitoring and Adaptive
32 Management Plan and the OMRRR Manual, respectively. The focus in non-tidal areas will be directed
33 towards encouraging appropriate native plant species and minimizing the presence of exotic plant species
34 of particular concern such as non-native cordgrass, pampas grass, broom, and yellow star thistle.
35 Corrective techniques may include mowing, burning, manual removal of unwanted plants, and herbicides
36 (approved by the federal Environmental Protection Agency for use in wetlands) if needed. Mowing and
37 manual removal have been effective so far at suppressing unwanted upland plant species at the Sonoma
38 Baylands project, and herbicides have not been necessary. Any vegetation-control efforts will need to be
39 carefully planned and executed to avoid negative impacts on adjacent habitats and wildlife. Control of
40 non-native predators (feral cats and/or red foxes) may also be needed. A plan for controlling noxious
41 plant species and non-native predators will developed in coordination with California Department of Fish
42 and Game and U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
43

44 Biological maintenance in tidal areas will primarily be passive, with natural processes allowed to
45 gradually restore habitats. However, tidal areas (and uplands) may be invaded by the non-native perennial
46 pepperweed (*Lepidium latifolium*). Control of this plant is uncertain and cannot be guaranteed. Herbicides
47 would most likely be required in any attempt to control this species, should it invade the site.
48
49

50 **PUBLIC HEALTH (MOSQUITO BREEDING HABITAT)**

51

1 Monitoring and management activities associated with potential creation of mosquito breeding habitat
2 will be coordinated with the Marin-Sonoma Mosquito and Vector Control District. Activities may
3 include: development and implementation of water management strategies to reduce site suitability for
4 mosquito breeding (e.g., introduction of saline water); air and ground applications of Bti (*Bacillus*
5 *thuringiensis var. israelensis*), methoprene growth regulators, or other Environmental Protection Agency
6 approved pesticides as needed; ongoing monitoring of larval and adult mosquito populations, water
7 quality, and vegetation density, and implementation of control and management measures as determined
8 by MSMVCD.
9

10 11 **ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT**

12
13 Adaptive management is a term that has been used to mean various things. As used here, it is an approach
14 to resource management in which management goals remain the same, but management objectives and
15 techniques may be modified in response to feedback (such as monitoring results) from the system being
16 managed. Adaptive management recognizes that human knowledge regarding biological and physical
17 systems is limited and that these systems may not always behave as expected. When a management or
18 restoration project is to be implemented but there is some uncertainty regarding the response of the
19 system to particular actions, adaptive management provides a way for management actions to respond to
20 feedback from the system being managed. Adaptive management will be implemented if specific
21 restoration standards are not met or if it appears that actual conditions will diverge sufficiently far from
22 intended conditions to threaten the achievement of overall project goals. Funding for adaptive
23 management will be included in the project cost estimates so that this option will be available in the future
24 if needed.
25

26 Should the development of the site fail to meet quantitative standards to be stated in the detailed
27 monitoring plan, action to correct these shortfalls will be undertaken if such action could reasonably be
28 expected to assist in the achievement of these standards. Corrective action could include vegetation
29 management, predator management, topographic modifications such as creation of or enlargement of
30 channels, or levee repairs or modifications. Once corrective actions are taken, they become part of the
31 completed project and will be maintained during and after the 13-year monitoring period as prescribed by
32 the O&M manual.